Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/976,820	LEIBER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel Zirker	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS I herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.3	IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in 5) or other appropriate communication is second RIGHTS. This application is second in the communication in the communication is second in the communication in th	th the correspondence add in this application. If not include the correspondence add in this application.	ded
1. This communication is responsive to <i>RCE filling of 6/28/0</i>	04 with Response.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 20-33.			
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examir	ner.	•	
 4.	ve been received. ve been received in Application focuments have been received. of this communication to file IMENT of this application. mitted. Note the attached EXA ves reason(s) why the oath or just be submitted. rson's Patent Drawing Review and the written on the 1.84(c) should be written on the	n No I in this national stage application this national stage application this national stage application are ply complying with the result. MINER'S AMENDMENT or Note and the declaration is deficient.	equirements
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 	OSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATE	PIAL must be submitted a	Note the
Attachment(s)			
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ormal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413),	
B. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	08), 7. ☐ Examiner's A	Mail Date Amendment/Comment	
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. ⊠ Examiner's S 9. □ Other	Statement of Reasons for Allo	wance
	DANIEI PRIMARY GROU	ZIRKER EXAMINER Samul 1700	Zirku

点线

Art Unit 1771

- 1. The Examiner notes that the only drawings in the application appear to be those which accompanied the priority document and therefore applicants are required to submit a suitable set of formal drawings with their next response.
- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The Examiner has decided in view of the Lühmann Declaration submitted May 29, 2003 together with applicants' response accompanying their RCE filing of June 28, 2004 that the application is in condition for allowance, notwithstanding the fact that there have been two adverse Board decisions against applicants. They further note that the Lühmann Declaration, which was not timely filed so as to be considered by the Board when making their latest decision in the Rehearing, presents convincing arguments with respect to the non obviousness of the claimed inventions in view of the relied upon prior art combination of record. For instance, at paragraph No. 9 of the Declaration, the declarant states that "I do not believe that providing an appropriate number of grip tabs would have been inferred or would have been well within the ambit of one of ordinary skill in the art." He continues on in his traversal of the prior art combination of Lühmann taken in view of Cole since (as stated in Paragraph 12) "the tabs of Lühmann function to

Art Unit 1771

remove residue not towards releasing the bond between an object and a substrate. In fact,, one of ordinary skill in the art would have read the Lühmann reference to be at best rather ambivalent about the need for any tabs, much less multiple tabs that: " wherein he quotes column 2 line 57 - column 3 line of the Lühmann reference, which has been extensively relied upon by the Examiner. In paragraph No. 13 he simply states that "the Board misapprehended that one of ordinary skill in the art would 'infer' that grip tabs can be provided to each and every side of the tape". In paragraph No. 16 he continues on with his analysis of the relied upon prior art of passages in Lühmann with the statement that "none of these disclosures would 'infer' to one of ordinary skill in the art to use multiple tabs equaling the number of edges, or to supply grip tabs for each and every edge. Clearly, the person of Lühmann relied upon by the Board is only talking about the design of a single tab on a single edge". Even if the applicants rely upon the teaching of Example 8 which is the sole place in Lühmann that discloses any embodiments having more than one tab, i.e., paragraph No. 16 simply states that "this disclosure only serves to cement the fact that there are fewer tabs than edges and that what constitutes a 'tab' in the Lühmann reference is used to remove residue and is not directed towards releasing the bond between an object and the substrate."

Serial No. 08/976,820 Art Unit 1771

It is again to be noted that, e.g. applicants' claims require the presence of a polygon (which inherently has at least three sides) and "the same number of grip tabs". Additionally, with respect to claim 27 and its dependent claims, the prior art combination of record as pointed out by applicants in their June 28,2004 response, (e.g. page 5, next to last paragraph) states that "while the combination of Lühmann and Cole may suggest a circular shape adhesive section, the combination of Lühmann and Cole does not teach or suggest the provision of a single grip tab surrounding a circular shaped adhesive core" as required by claim 27. In summary, the Examiner now believes that what applicants state (Response, page 7, top paragraph) that "what a person having ordinary skill in the art would clear understand from Lühmann at column 2, line 65 to column 3, line 1 is that Lühmann is describing the formation of a single grip tab on a single edge of the quadrangle like folding a piece of non-adhesive material around the edge". Finally, while with hindsight applicants' invention may look simple to one of ordinary skill, yet the fact must be realized that the prior art combination of record fails to either teach or infer the claimed invention without the added assist of hindsight.

Any comments considered necessary by applicants must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to

Art Unit 1771

avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Zirker whose telephone number is (571) 272-1486. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 1771

Dzirker:cdc

October 25, 2004